

Brief Comparison of Organizations that Provide Program Reviews

Name of list:	Focus	Program designations	Summary of key features
Clearinghouse for Military Family Readiness Continuum	Broad range of prevention, treatment, and education programs that can support military personnel and their families.	 Effective (i.e., RCT Or Quasi) Promising Unclear (i.e., Positive, Neutral, or Negative) Ineffective 	 Rigorous review process** Full literature review Replications and duration of effects emphasized Concise fact sheets Broad range of youth, adult, and family programs
Blueprints for Healthy Youth Development	Prevention programs that target problem behavior, education, emotional well-being, physical health, and positive relationships.	 Model + Model Promising	 Rigorous review process** Full literature review Replications and duration of effects emphasized Comprehensive summaries Specific focus on youth programs
California Evidence-Based Clearinghouse	Variety of topics related to child welfare. An advisory committee selects topics. *Also rated on relevance to child welfare system.	 Well-supported by research Supported by research Promising research evidence Evidence fails to demonstrate effect Concerning Practice Not able to be rated 	 Rigorous review process** Full literature review Replications and duration of effects emphasized Specific focus on select child welfare topics
Crime-solutions. gov	Interventions that seek to prevent or reduce crime, delinquency, and related problems.	 Effective Promising No effects *crimesolutions.gov outlines a scoring system that summarizes considerations and guides program designations. 	 Full literature review Duration of effects emphasized Specific focus on crime and delinquency
What Works Clearinghouse (WWC)	Programs, products, practices, and policies that improve outcomes in education.	 Meets Evidence Standards w/o Reservations Meets with Reservations Does Not Meet Evidence Standards *Several secondary ratings are also included.	 Full literature review Comprehensive and very detailed reports provided for each program Specific focus on education outcomes
Promising Practices Network	Child health, school readiness and success, strong families.	ProvenPromisingOther (if reviewed by other organizations)	 Full literature review Comprehensive reports Specific focus on programs for children and their families

Name of list:	Focus	Program designations	Summary of key features
National Dropout Prevention Center and Network	School dropout and graduation outcomes.	Evidence rated as:StrongModerateLimitedInsufficient	 Submitted materials only Replications emphasized Specific focus on school dropout outcomes
OJJDP Model Programs Guide	Prevention, treatment, and sanctions designed to address juvenile justice, mental health, and substance abuse among adolescents.	ExemplaryEffectivePromising	 Moving towards same criteria as crimesolutions.org Specific focus on youth programs
Child Trends What Works	Out-of-school social interventions that address a wide variety of youth-focused outcomes.	None, although individual fact sheets indicate whether a program works or does not work.	 Summaries of individual evaluations Specific focus on youth programs
Findyouthinfo.gov Teen Pregnancy Prevention Program Directory	Teen pregnancy prevention, STD prevention, and prevention of risky sexual behaviors.	Quality of research rated as high, moderate, or low. Only moderate or high quality are considered for "evidence-based" list.	 Full literature review Summaries of studies Specific focus on teen pregnancy and STD prevention
Findyouthinfo.gov Substance Abuse, Violence, and Other Risk Behavior program directory	Academic problems; aggression; violence; gang involvement; ATOD; delinquency; famis ly functioning; sexual activity/ exploitation; trauma.	 Level 1 = robust empirical findings Level 2 = adequate empirical findings Level 3 = promising empirical findings 	 Moving towards same criteria as crimesolutions.org Specific focus on youth programs

^{**}Organizations categorized as having a rigorous review process adhere to all of the following procedures: Conduct a full literature review, systematically consider a broad range of methodological considerations, and require that top-rated programs have demonstrated positive outcomes that persist for at least one year beyond baseline, and evaluation findings have been replicated across multiple sites.

This material is the result of a partnership funded by the Department of Defense between the Office of Military Community and Family Policy and the USDA's National Institute of Food and Agriculture through a grant/cooperative agreement with Penn State University.



